arXiv:1704.07280v1 [q-bio.PE] 24 Apr 2017

Asymptotic behavior of Eigen's quasispecies model

Joseba Dalmau
École Polytechnique

April 25, 2017

Abstract

We study Eigen's quasispecies model in the asymptotic regime where the length of the genotypes goes to ∞ and the mutation probability goes to 0. A limiting infinite system of differential equations is obtained. We prove the convergence of the trajectories, as well as the convergence of the equilibrium solutions. We give the analogous results for a discrete–time version of Eigen's model, which coincides with a model proposed by Moran.

1 Introduction

In the early 70s, Manfred Eigen proposed a mathematical model for the evolution of a prebiotic population, under the complementary forces of selection and mutation [4]. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the finite set of possible genotypes, along with a fitness function $f : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and a mutation matrix $(M(u,v), u, v \in \mathcal{G})$. The concentration $x_v(t)$ of individuals having genotype v in the population, evolves according to the differential equation

$$x'_v(t) \;=\; \sum_{u\in\mathcal{G}} x_u(t) f(u) M(u,v) - x_v(t) \sum_{u\in\mathcal{G}} x_u(t) f(u)\,.$$

Under the assumption that the matrix $W = (f(u)M(u,v), u, v \in \mathcal{G})$ is primitive, it is well known [6, 8, 9] that the above system of differential equations has a unique stationary solution x^* and all the trajectories converge to x^*. Eigen's model exhibits two phenomena of particular importance: an error threshold phenomenon and a quasispecies distribution. In order to see what this means, let us fix $\mathcal{G}$ to be the ℓ–dimensional hypercube $\{\,0,1\,\}^\ell$, and suppose that mutations arrive independently on each site of a chain with probability q. When the length of the genotypes ℓ tends to infinity, there exists a critical mutation probability q^*, called the error threshold, separating two different regimes. For mutation probabilities above the error threshold, the population at equilibrium is totally random. For mutation probabilities below the error threshold, the population at equilibrium possesses a positive concentration of the fittest genotype, along with a cloud of mutants which

are a few mutations away from the fittest genotype. This kind of distribution is referred to as a quasispecies. Explicit formulas for the distribution of the quasispecies have been found in [3], in the case of the sharp peak landscape, as well as in the case of class–dependent fitness landscapes. In order to obtain these formulas, the following asymptotic regime is considered:

$$\ell \to \infty \qquad q \to 0 \qquad \ell q \to a \in]0, +\infty[\ .$$

In this asymptotic regime, an infinite version of Eigen's system of differential equations $(x^\infty)'(t) = F(x^\infty(t))$ is obtained, and the equations for the stationary solutions of the infinite system are explicitly solved. The solutions $\mathcal{Q}(f, a)$ are called quasispecies distributions, and depend both on the fitness function f and the mean number of mutations per genome per generation a. The aim of this paper is to complete the picture by showing the following convergences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x(t) & \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} & x^* \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0 \\ \ell q \to a}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0 \\ \ell q \to a}} \\ x^\infty(t) & \xrightarrow{t \to \infty} & \mathcal{Q}(f, a) \end{array}$$

We also obtain analogous results for a closely related model. We keep the same framework as in Eigen's model, but we consider the time to be discrete. The concentration $x_v(n)$ of individuals having genotype v evolves according to the following dynamical system:

$$x_v(n+1) \ = \ \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}} x_u(n) f(u) M(u, v)}{\displaystyle\sum_{u \in \mathcal{G}} x_u(n) f(u)} \, .$$

This model was first proposed by Moran [7], and it is not to be confused with the well–known Moran model, which is a stochastic model for the evolution of a finite population. We thus call this model the deterministic Moran model. We will show that a similar diagram holds for the deterministic Moran model. In particular, the unique fixed point of the above dynamical system is again x^*, and the distribution of the quasispecies found in the limit coincides with the distribution of the quasispecies for Eigen's model.

2 Models and known results

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite alphabet of cardinality κ, and let $\mathcal{A}^\ell$ be the set of sequences of length $\ell \geq 1$ over $\mathcal{A}$. We will refer to $\mathcal{A}^\ell$ as the set of genotypes; typical choices for $\mathcal{A}$ are $\{ A, T, G, C \}$ for DNA sequences, the set of the twenty amino acids for proteins, or $\{ 0, 1 \}$ for binary sequences. The models we consider aim at modeling the evolution of a population, the individuals in the population having genotypes in $\mathcal{A}^\ell$. The evolution will be guided by two main forces, selection and mutation. Selection is defined via a fitness function, that is, a mapping $g : \mathcal{A}^\ell \longrightarrow]0, +\infty[$. Mutations arrive independently on each site of the genotype, with probability $q \in (0, 1)$; when a mutation occurs in a certain site, the letter present in it is replaced by one of the $\kappa - 1$ remaining letters in the alphabet, chosen uniformly at random. The natural distance on $\mathcal{A}^\ell$ is the Hamming distance, which counts the number of different digits between two chains, i.e.,

$$\forall\, u, v \in \mathcal{A}^\ell \qquad d(u, v) \;=\; \mathrm{card}\big\{\, 1 \leq i \leq \ell : u(i) \neq v(i) \,\big\}\,.$$

The probability that a genotype u is transformed into a genotype v by mutation is given by

$$Q(u, v) \;=\; \Big(\frac{q}{\kappa - 1}\Big)^{d(u,v)} (1 - q)^{\ell - d(u,v)}\,.$$

We make the following two assumptions on the fitness function g.

Assumptions. We suppose that:
(A1) There exists a privileged sequence, $w^* \in \mathcal{A}^\ell$ of strictly maximal fitness, that is, $g(w^*) > g(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{A}^\ell \setminus \{ w^* \}$.
(A2) All sequences at a same distance from w^* share the same fitness.

The privileged sequence w^* will be referred to as the master sequence. Under these two assumptions, we can decompose the space of genotypes $\mathcal{A}^\ell$ into Hamming classes with respect to the master sequence. We say that a genotype $u \in \mathcal{A}^\ell$ belongs to the Hamming class k if $d(u, w^*) = k$. The set of Hamming classes is $\{ 0, \ldots, \ell \}$ and, under assumption (A2), we can define a fitness function $f : \{ 0, \ldots, \ell \} \longrightarrow]0, +\infty[$ by setting $f(k)$ to be the value of $g(u)$ common to all the sequences u in the Hamming class k. Moreover, the mutation matrix Q can be factorized through the Hamming classes. Indeed, the probability that a genotype in the class i mutates into a genotype in the class k is given by

$$M(i, k) \;=\; P\big(i - Bin(i, q/(\kappa - 1)) + Bin(\ell - i, q) \;=\; k\big)\,,$$

where $Bin(n, p)$ is the binomial law, and the two binomials in the formula are independent. Define Δ^ℓ to be the ℓ–dimensional unit simplex:

$$\Delta^\ell \;=\; \big\{\, x \in [0, 1]^{\ell+1} : x_0 + \cdots + x_\ell = 1 \,\big\}\,.$$

Eigen's model. Let $x_k(t)$ represent the proportion of individuals in the class k in a population at time t. The quantities $x_k(t)$ evolve according to the following system of differential equations:

$$(Eig) \qquad x_k'(t) \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i(t) f(i) M(i,k) - x_k(t) \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i(t) f(i)\,, \qquad 0 \le k \le \ell\,.$$

Note that if x^0 belongs to Δ^ℓ, then the solution $(x(t), t \ge 0)$ of (Eig) with initial condition $x(0) = x^0$ belongs to Δ^ℓ for all $t \ge 0$, which is a direct consequence of M being a stochastic matrix.

The deterministic Moran model. Let $x_k(n)$ represent the proportion of individuals in the class k in generation n. The quantities $x_k(n)$ evolve according to the following discrete–time dynamical system:

$$(DM) \qquad x_k(n+1) \,=\, \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{0\le i\le \ell} x_i(n) f(i) M(i,k)}{\displaystyle\sum_{0\le i\le \ell} x_i(n) f(i)}\,, \qquad 0 \le k \le \ell\,.$$

Again, if $x^0 \in \Delta^\ell$, then the solution $(x(n), n \ge 0)$ of (DM) with initial condition $x(0) = x^0$ belongs to Δ^ℓ for all $n \ge 0$.

Let us define the matrix W by

$$\forall\, i, j \in \{\, 0, \ldots, \ell \,\} \qquad W(i,j) \,=\, f(i) M(i,j)\,.$$

By assumption (A1), the matrix W is strictly positive, and thus the Perron–Frobenius theorem applies. We have the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *Eigen's system of differential equations* (Eig) *admits a unique stationary solution* $x^* \in \Delta^\ell$. *Moreover, for every* $x^0 \in \Delta^\ell$, *the solution* $(x(t), t \ge 0)$ *of* (Eig) *with initial condition* $x(0) = x^0$ *satisfies*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} x(t) \,=\, x^*\,.$$

This result is well–known, and has been established by several authors, see for instance [1, 5, 6, 9]. A similar result holds for the deterministic Moran model, which has been proven by Moran himself in [7]. Both results can be proven in a similar way, by using the Perron–Frobenius theorem. In fact, the vector x^* is the same in both cases, and it is the left Perron–Frobenius eigenvector of the matrix W, normalized so that it belongs to Δ^ℓ. We also remark that the mean fitness of the population at equilibrium,

$$\lambda \,=\, \sum_{0\le i\le \ell} x_i^* f(i)\,,$$

is the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of the matrix W.

For an error threshold phenomenon to take place, we consider the regime where the length of the genomes goes to infinity. More explicitly, we consider the asymptotic regime

$$\ell \to \infty\,, \qquad q \to 0\,, \qquad \ell q \to a \in]0, +\infty[\,.$$

Recall that the mutation matrix M is given by the formula

$$M(i,k) \;=\; P\big(i - Bin(i, q/(\kappa-1)) + Bin(\ell - i, q) \;=\; k\big)\,.$$

When considering the above asymptotic regime, the first of the binomial laws converges to a Dirac mass at 0, while the second one converges to a Poisson distribution of parameter a. Therefore, we obtain an infinite mutation matrix M_∞, which is given by

$$\forall\, i,k \geq 0 \qquad M_\infty(i,k) \;=\; \begin{cases} e^{-a}\dfrac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} & \text{if} \quad k \geq i\,, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad k < i\,. \end{cases}$$

We suppose that there exists a function $f_\infty : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow]0, +\infty[$, such that for each ℓ, the restriction of f_∞ to $\{\,0, \ldots, \ell\,\}$ is equal to the fitness function f. We suppose that the fitness function f_∞ satisfies the following assumption.

Assumption (B). The fitness function f_∞ is positive, has a strict maximum at 0, and converges to 1, i.e.,

$$\forall\, k \geq 1 \quad f_\infty(0) > f_\infty(k) > 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{k\to\infty} f_\infty(k) = 1\,.$$

We consider the following limiting systems.

Eigen's infinite system. Let $y_k(t)$ represent the proportion of individuals in the class k in a population at time t. The quantities $y_k(t)$ evolve according to the following system of differential equations:

$$(Eig_\infty) \quad y_k'(t) \;=\; \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i(t) f_\infty(i) e^{-a}\frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} - y_k(t)\sum_{i\geq 0} y_i(t) f_\infty(i)\,, \quad k \geq 0\,.$$

The infinite deterministic Moran model. Let $y_k(n)$ represent the proportion of individuals in the class k in generation n. The quantities $y_k(n)$ evolve according to the following discrete–time dynamical system:

$$(DM_\infty) \qquad y_k(n+1) \;=\; \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{0\leq i\leq k} y_i(n) f_\infty(i) e^{-a}\frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!}}{\displaystyle\sum_{i\geq 0} y_i(n) f_\infty(i)}\,, \qquad k \geq 0\,.$$

We first look for the stationary solutions of (Eig_∞), which coincide with the fixed points of (DM_∞). We restrict our attention to the stationary solutions satisfying

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} y_k \,=\, 1\,.$$

Let $I(f_\infty) \in \mathbb{N}$ be the set of indices i such that

$$f_\infty(i)e^{-a} > 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_\infty(i) > f_\infty(j) \quad \forall\, j > i\,.$$

Under assumption (B), we have the following result.

Proposition 2.2. *The system (Eig_∞) has as many stationary solutions as there are elements in $I(f_\infty)$. Moreover, for each $i \in I(f_\infty)$, the associated solution $(\rho^i_k)_{k\geq 0}$ satisfies*

$$\rho^i_0 = \cdots = \rho^i_{i-1} = 0 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad \rho^i_i > 0\,.$$

A similar statement holds for the fixed points of (DM_∞). This result has been proven in [3], where an explicit formula is found for the solutions ρ^i. Indeed, the solution ρ^i is given by: for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\rho^i_{i+k} \,=\, \frac{\dfrac{1}{f(i)}1_{k=0} + \dfrac{a^k}{f(i+k)} \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{1\leq h\leq k \\ 0=i_0<\cdots<i_h=k}} \prod_{t=1}^{h} \frac{f(i+i_t)}{(i_t-i_{t-1})!(f(i)-f(i+i_t))}}{\dfrac{1}{f(i)} + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{h\geq 1 \\ 0=i_0<\cdots<i_h}} \frac{a^{i_h}}{f(i+i_h)} \prod_{t=1}^{h} \frac{f(i+i_t)}{(i_t-i_{t-1})!(f(i)-f(i+i_t))}}\,,$$

where an empty sum is taken to be equal to 0, and the index ∞ has been omitted from the fitness function. From now on, we will always omit the index ∞ in the fitness function, and we will denote by f both the fitness function on $\mathbb{N}$ and its restriction to $\{\,0,\ldots,\ell\,\}$.

Before stating our results, we justify the existence and uniqueness of a global solution of the system (Eig_∞) for a given initial condition. The facts stated below follow from the general theory of ODE's on Banach spaces (see for instance [2], part II, chapter 1). We denote by ℓ^1 the space of absolutely summable sequences $(y_k)_{k\geq 0}$ and by $||\cdot||$ their ℓ^1 norm, as well as the operator norm associated to it. Define the operator $W_\infty : \ell^1 \longrightarrow \ell^1$ by setting

$$\forall\, y \in \ell^1 \quad \forall\, k \geq 0 \qquad (yW_\infty)_k \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i f(i) M_\infty(i,k)\,.$$

In view of assumption (B), the operator W_∞ is bounded by $f(0)$. Moreover, we can rewrite the system of differential equations (Eig_∞) in terms of the operator W_∞ as $y'(t) = F(y(t))$ with

$$F(y) \;=\; yW_\infty - y\langle yW_\infty, 1\rangle\,,$$

where for $y \in \ell^1$ and $(h_k)_{k\geq 0}$ a bounded sequence, $\langle y, h\rangle = \sum_{i\geq 0} y_i h_i$. Since the operator W_∞ is bounded, the mapping $F : \ell^1 \longrightarrow \ell^1$ is locally Lipschitz. Indeed, let $y \in \ell^1$ and $\delta > 0$, for every $z \in \ell^1$ such that $||y - z|| < \delta$, we have

$$||F(y)-F(z)|| \;\leq\; ||y-z||\cdot||W_\infty||+||y-z||\cdot\big|\langle yW_\infty, 1\rangle\big|+||z||\cdot\big|\langle (y-z)W_\infty, 1\rangle\big|\,.$$

Note that for every $u \in \ell^1$ we have $|\langle uW_\infty, 1\rangle| \leq ||u|| \cdot ||W_\infty||$. Thus,

$$||F(y) - F(z)|| \;\leq\; ||W_\infty||\big(1 + ||y|| + ||z||\big)||y - z|| \;\leq\; M(y,\delta)||y - z||\,,$$

with $M(y, \delta) = ||W_\infty||(1+2||y||+\delta)$, so that F is locally Lipschitz. Therefore, the Cauchy problem $y'(t) = F(y(t))$ with initial condition $y(0) \in \ell^1$ admits a unique maximal solution $y :]a, b[\longrightarrow \ell^1$ with $-\infty \leq a < 0 < b \leq +\infty$. Furthermore, the set

$$E \;=\; \big\{\, y \in \ell^1 : \forall k \geq 0 \;\; y_k \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad ||y|| = 1 \,\big\}$$

is positively invariant, that is, if $y(0) \in E$, then for all $t \geq 0$ we have $y(t) \in E$. Indeed, if $y(0)$ is a non–negative sequence, the fact that $y_k(t) \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$ follows from lemma A.1 together with an inductive argument. Moreover, if $y \in \ell^1$ is a non–negative sequence,

$$\frac{d}{dt}||y(t)|| \;=\; \sum_{k\geq 0} y_k'(t) \;=\; \langle yW_\infty, 1\rangle\big(1 - ||y(t)||\big)\,.$$

Thus, E is positively invariant. For every $y \in E$ we have $||F(y)|| \leq 2||W_\infty||$, therefore the solution y does not explode and b can be taken to be equal to ∞. In the sequel, we will only consider solutions of (Eig_∞) such that $y(0) \in E$. In this case, the limit of $y_k(t)$ when t goes to ∞ is well defined for all $k \geq 0$. We now proceed to state our main results.

3 Main results

We begin by showing the convergence of the solutions of the system (Eig_∞). Assume that $I(f) = \{\, i_1, \ldots, i_N \,\}$. Note that N might be equal to 0, in which case $I(f)$ would be empty.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(y(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be a solution of (Eig_∞). For every $k \geq 0$ and $h \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y_k(t) = \rho_k^{i_h}$$

if and only if the initial condition satisfies

$$y_0(0) = \cdots = y_{i_{h-1}}(0) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \max_{i_{h-1}<i\leq i_h} y_i(0) > 0\,.$$

In this case, $y(t)$ converges to ρ^{i_h} in ℓ^1. Otherwise, $y_k(t)$ converges to 0 for all $k \geq 0$.

Next, we show that the solutions of (Eig) converge to the solutions of (Eig_∞) on finite time intervals.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(x(t))_{t\geq 0}$ and $(y(t))_{t\geq 0}$ be solutions of (Eig) and (Eig_∞) respectively, and assume that the initial conditions converge, i.e.,*

$$\forall\, k \geq 0\,, \qquad \lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} x_k(0) = y_k(0)\,.$$

Then, for every $T > 0$ and for every $k \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} \sup_{0\leq t\leq T} |x_k(t) - y_k(t)| = 0\,.$$

Finally, we study that the convergence of the unique stationary solution of (Eig).

Theorem 3.3. *Let $x^* = (x_k^*)_{0\leq k\leq \ell}$ be the unique stationary solution of (Eig). We have the following dichotomy:*

- *If $f(0)e^{-a} \leq 1$,*

$$\forall\, k \geq 0\,, \qquad \lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} x_k^* = 0\,.$$

- *If $f(0)e^{-a} > 1$,*

$$\forall\, k \geq 0\,, \qquad \lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} x_k^* = \rho_k^0\,,$$

where $(\rho_k^0)_{k\geq 0}$ is the unique stationary solution of (Eig_∞) satisfying $\rho_0^0 > 0$.

Analogous results hold for the discrete–time models (DM) and (DM_∞). The proofs are similar in both cases, and thus, in what follows, we will only deal with the continuous–time case. The next three sections prove each of the above results.

4 Convergence to equilibrium

The aim of this section is to prove the theorem 3.1. We will only show that if $i_1 = 0$ and $y_0(0) > 0$, then for every $k \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y_k(t) \,=\, \rho_k^0\,,$$

where $(\rho_k^0)_{k\geq 0}$ is the stationary solution of (Eig_∞) associated to 0. The remaining cases can be shown in a similar fashion. We denote by $\phi_\infty(t)$ the mean fitness of the system (Eig_∞), i.e., $\phi_\infty(t) = \sum_{i\geq 0} y_i(t)f(i)$. Let us note first that if $y_0(0) > 0$, then $y_0(t) > 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Indeed, since for all $t \geq 0$ we have $\phi_\infty(t) \leq f(0)$,

$$y_0'(t) \,=\, y_0(t)f(0)e^{-a} - y_0(t)\phi_\infty(t) \,\geq\, y_0(t)f(0)(e^{-a}-1)\,.$$

Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$y_0(t) \,\geq\, y_0(0)e^{-f(0)(1-e^{-a})t} \,>\, 0\,.$$

We can thus make the following change of variables: for all $k \geq 0$, we set $z_k(t) = y_k(t)/y_0(t)$. Differentiating, we obtain a new system of differential equations:

$$z_k'(t) \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{k} z_i(t)f(i)e^{-a}\frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} - z_k(t)f(0)e^{-a}\,, \qquad k \geq 1\,.$$

Thanks to this change of variables, we have managed to transform the original system of differential equations into a linear system. We will show by induction that for all $k \geq 0$, $z_k(t)$ converges to z_k^* when t goes to infinity, where $z_k^* = \rho_k^0/\rho_0^0$. The result is obvious for $k = 0$, since $z_0(t) = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Let $k \geq 1$ and suppose that $z_i(t)$ converges to z_i^* for $i \in \{\,0,\ldots,k-1\,\}$. We have

$$z_k'(t) \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} z_i(t)f(i)e^{-a}\frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} - (f(0)-f(k))e^{-a}z_k(t)\,.$$

We conclude that (appendix A):

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y_k(t) \,=\, \frac{1}{f(0)-f(k)}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} z_i^* f(i)\frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} \,=\, z_k^*\,.$$

This concludes the induction step. It remains to prove that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y_0(t) \,=\, \rho_0^0\,.$$

We have:

$$y_0(t) \;=\; \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k(t)\right)^{-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \rho_0^0 \;=\; \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z_k^*\right)^{-1}.$$

First, we will prove the convergence assuming that the fitness function f is eventually constant, and we will then use this fact to prove the general case. Let us suppose the existence of an $N \geq 0$ such that the fitness function f is constant and equal to 1 for all $n > N$. In this case, the mean fitness $\phi_\infty(t)$ is a function of $y_0(t), \ldots, y_N(t)$,

$$\phi_\infty(t) \;=\; \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} y_k(t)(f(k)-1)+1 \;=\; y_0(t) \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} z_k(t)(f(k)-1)+1\,.$$

Likewise, the mean fitness at equilibrium, ϕ_∞^*, is a function of $\rho_0^0, \ldots, \rho_N^0$:

$$\phi_\infty^* \;=\; \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} \rho_k^0(f(k)-1)+1 \;=\; \rho_0^0 \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} z_k^*(f(k)-1)+1\,.$$

Yet, $\phi_\infty^* = f(0)e^{-a}$. We conclude that

$$\sum_{0\leq k\leq N} z_k^*(f(k)-1) \;=\; \frac{f(0)e^{-a}-1}{\rho_0^0} \;>\; 0\,.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(t) \;&=\; \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} z_k(t)(f(k)-1)\,, \\ \alpha^* \;&=\; \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} z_k^*(f(k)-1)\,, \\ \beta \;&=\; f(0)e^{-a}-1\,. \end{aligned}$$

The differential equation for $y_0(t)$ can be rewritten as

$$y_0'(t) \;=\; y_0(t)\big(\beta - y_0(t)\alpha(t)\big)\,.$$

We will show that $y_0(t)$ converges to $\beta/\alpha^* = \rho_0^0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $\alpha^* - \varepsilon > 0$, and let $T \geq 0$ be large enough so that

$$\forall\, t \geq T \qquad |\alpha(t) - \alpha^*| \;<\; \varepsilon\,.$$

Then, for all $t \geq T$, the derivative $y_0'(t)$ is strictly positive over $]0, \beta/(\alpha^*+\varepsilon)[$ and strictly negative over $]\beta/(\alpha^*-\varepsilon), 1]$. We deduce the existence of a $T_1 > T$ such that

$$\forall\, t \geq T_1 \qquad \frac{\beta}{\alpha^*+\varepsilon} \;\leq\; y_0(t) \;\leq\; \frac{\beta}{\alpha^*-\varepsilon}\,.$$

Letting ε go to 0, we obtain the convergence of $y_0(t)$ towards ρ_0^0. In particular, we get the convergence

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \sum_{k\geq 0} z_k(t) \;=\; \sum_{k\geq 0} z_k^* \,.$$

If f is not eventually constant, we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $f(0)e^{-a} > 1+\varepsilon$ and $N \geq 0$ large enough so that

$$\forall\, n > N \qquad f(n) \;<\; 1+\varepsilon \,.$$

Let $f_N : \mathbb{N} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be the mapping defined by:

$$\forall\, n \geq 0 \qquad f_N(n) \;=\; \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if} \quad n \leq N \,, \\ 1+\varepsilon & \text{if} \quad n > N \,. \end{cases}$$

Consider the system of differential equations

$$u_k'(t) \;=\; \sum_{0\leq i\leq k} u_i(t) f_N(i) e^{-a} \frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!} - u_k(t) f_N(0) e^{-a} \,.$$

Since $f \leq f_N$ and $f(0) = f_N(0)$, if $y_k(0) \leq u_k(0)$ for all $k \geq 0$, we have, thanks to the lemma A.2,

$$\forall\, k \geq 0 \quad \forall\, t \geq 0 \qquad y_k(t) \;\leq\; u_k(t) \,.$$

Moreover, since f_N is eventually constant, the series with general term $u_k(t)$ converges to the series with general term u_k^*. We conclude that the same holds for the series with general term $z_k(t)$, as wanted. It remains to see that $y(t)$ converges to ρ^0 in ℓ^1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $N \geq 0$ large enough so that $\rho_0^0 + \cdots + \rho_N^0 > 1 - \varepsilon/4$. It follows from the argument above that there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\forall\, k \in \{\, 0, \ldots, N \,\} \quad \forall\, t \geq T \qquad |y_k(t) - \rho_k^0| \;<\; \frac{\varepsilon}{4(N+1)} \,.$$

In particular, for $t \geq T$,

$$\sum_{k>N} y_k(t) \;=\; 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{N} y_k(t) \;\leq\; \left| 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{N} \rho_k^0 \right| + \sum_{k=0}^{N} |\rho_k^0 - y_k(t)| \;<\; \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \,.$$

Then, for all $t \geq T$,

$$||y(t) - \rho^0|| \;\leq\; \sum_{k=0}^{N} |y_k(t) - \rho_k^0| + \sum_{k>N} y_k(t) + \sum_{k>N} \rho_k^0 \;<\; \varepsilon \,,$$

which proves the ℓ^1 convergence.

5 Convergence of the trajectories

The aim of this section is to prove the theorem 3.2. Let $\varepsilon, \delta, T > 0$ and let N be large enough so that

$$\forall\, n \geq N \qquad |f(n) - 1| \,<\, \delta\,.$$

We will show that, for every $n \geq N$ and $t \leq T$, asymptotically,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} |x_k(t) - y_k(t)| \,<\, \varepsilon\,.$$

Let $n \geq N$. Asymptotically, for every $k \in \{\,1, \ldots, n\,\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall\, i \in \{\,0, \ldots, k\,\}, \qquad & \big|M_H(i,k) - M_\infty(i,k)\big| \,<\, \delta\,, \\ \forall\, i \in \{\,k+1, \ldots, \ell\,\}, \qquad & M_H(i,k) \,<\, \delta\,, \\ & \big|x_k(0) - y_k(0)\big| \,<\, \delta\,. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, denoting by $\phi_H(t)$ and $\phi_\infty(t)$ the mean fitness of the systems (Eig) and (Eig_∞), for every $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\phi_H(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} x_k(t)(f(k)-1) - 1\right| \,&=\, \left|\sum_{k=N+1}^{\ell} x_k(t)(f(k)-1)\right| \,<\, \delta\,, \\ \left|\phi_\infty(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} y_k(t)(f(k)-1) - 1\right| \,&=\, \left|\sum_{k\geq N+1} y_k(t)(f(k)-1)\right| \,<\, \delta\,. \end{aligned}$$

We have, for every $k \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_k(t) \,&=\, x_k(0) + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i(s) f(i) M_H(i,k) - x_k(s)\phi_H(s)\right) ds\,, \\ y_k(t) \,&=\, y_k(0) + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i(s) f(i) M_\infty(i,k) - y_k(s)\phi_\infty(s)\right) ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $t \in [0,T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |x_k(t) - y_k(t)| \,\leq\, & |x_k(0) - y_k(0)| + \\ & \sum_{i=0}^{k} \int_0^t \big|x_i(s) f(i) M_H(i,k) - y_i(s) f(i) M_\infty(i,k)\big| ds + \\ & \sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell} \int_0^t x_i(s) f(i) M_H(i,k) ds + \int_0^t |x_k(s)\phi_H(s) - y_k(s)\phi_\infty(s)| ds\,. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right is bounded by δ. Adding and subtracting the quantity $x_i(s)f(i)M_\infty(i,k)$ in each of the terms in the first sum, we see that the first sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} f(i)M_\infty(i,k)\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds + f(0)\delta T\,.$$

The second sum is bounded by $f(0)\delta T$, and for the last term, adding and subtracting $y_k(s)\phi_H(s)$ inside the integral, we have

$$\int_0^t |x_k(s)\phi_H(s)-y_k(s)\phi_\infty(s)|ds \;\le\; \int_0^t |x_k(s)-y_k(s)|\phi_H(s)ds + \int_0^t y_k(s)|\phi_H(s)-\phi_\infty(s)|ds\,.$$

Noting that $\phi_H(s)\le f(0)$ and $y_k(s)\le 1$ for all s, we deduce from the bounds on ϕ_H and ϕ_∞ that the above expression is bounded by

$$f(0)\int_0^t |x_k(s)-y_k(s)|ds + 2\delta T + \sum_{i=0}^{N}|f(i)-1|\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds\,.$$

Let C be the maximum of the $|f(i)-1|$ for $1\le i\le N$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|x_k(t)-y_k(t)| \;\le\; & \delta\big(1+2(f(0)+1)T\big)+\\ & \sum_{i=0}^{k} f(0)M_\infty(i,k)\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds+\\ & f(0)\int_0^t |x_k(s)-y_k(s)|ds + C\sum_{i=0}^{N}\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

We sum for $0\le k\le n$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{n}|x_k(t)-y_k(t)| \;\le\; & \delta(n+1)\big(1+2(f(0)+1)T\big)+\\ & \sum_{i=0}^{n} f(0)\left(\sum_{k=i}^{n}M_\infty(i,k)\right)\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds+\\ & \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(0)\int_0^t |x_k(s)-y_k(s)|ds + (n+1)C\sum_{i=0}^{n}\int_0^t |x_i(s)-y_i(s)|ds\,.\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n}|x_k(t)-y_k(t)| \;\le\; \delta C_1 + C_2\int_0^t\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n}\big|x_k(s)-y_k(s)\big|\right)ds\,,$$

where C_1, C_2 positive constants that do not depend on ℓ or q. We conclude thanks to Gronwall's lemma, by choosing $\delta < \varepsilon C_1^{-1}e^{-C_2T}$.

6 Convergence of the stationary solution

Finally, we proceed to the proof of theorem 3.3. Let us recall that the matrix $(W(i,j), 0 \leq i,j \leq \ell)$ is defined by

$$\forall\, i,j \in \{\,0,\dots,\ell\,\}\,, \qquad W(i,j) \,=\, f(i)M_H(i,j)\,.$$

The vector x^* solves the equation

$$\phi_H x_k^* \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i^* W(i,k)\,, \qquad 0 \leq k \leq \ell\,,$$

where

$$\phi_H \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} x_i^* f(i)\,,$$

is also the Perron–Frobenius eigenvalue of W. In particular, $\phi_H \in]0, f(0)[$. Up to the extraction of a subsequence, we can suppose the existence of the limits

$$\phi_\infty \,=\, \lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} \phi_H\,, \qquad y_k^* \,=\, \lim_{\substack{\ell\to\infty,\, q\to 0\\ \ell q\to a}} x_k^*\,, \quad k \geq 0\,.$$

Writing down the k-th equation of the system $(x^*)^T\phi_H = (x^*)^T W$, we conclude that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} x_i^* f(i) M_H(i,k) \,<\, \phi_H x_k^* \,<\, \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_i^* f(i) M_H(i,k) + f(0) \max_{k<i\leq\ell} M_H(i,k)\,.$$

In particular, if we take the left inequality with $k=0$, and if we divide both sides by x_0^*, we get, passing to the limit, that $\phi_\infty \geq f(0)e^{-a}$. Passing to the limit in the above inequalities, we obtain the system of equations

$$\phi_\infty y_k^* \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^{k} y_i^* f(i) e^{-a} \frac{a^{k-i}}{(k-i)!}\,, \qquad k \geq 0\,.$$

The zeroth equation reads $\phi_\infty y_0^* = y_0^* f(0) e^{-a}$. Since the sum of the components of the vector $(x_k^*)_{k\geq 0}$ is equal to 1, the sequence $(y_k^*)_{k\geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} y_k^* \,\leq\, 1\,.$$

We know from [3] that this system of equations only admits the solution $y_k^* = 0$ when $f(0)e^{-a} < 1$. On the other hand, if $f(0)e^{-a} > 1$, we see that necessarily $y_0^* > 0$: indeed, if K is the first index $k \geq 0$ such that $y_k^* > 0$, it follows from a passage to the limit in the above inequalities that

$\phi_\infty \leq f(K)e^{-a}$. In view of the constraints $\phi_\infty \geq f(0)e^{-a}$ and $f(0) > f(k)$ for all $k \geq 1$, we deduce that K must be equal to 0. Likewise, if $y_k^* = 0$ for every $k \geq 0$, then taking N large enough so that, for all $n \geq N$, $|f(n)-1| < \varepsilon$, it follows that

$$\phi_H \,=\, \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} x_k^* f(k) \,\leq\, \sum_{k=0}^{N} x_k^* f(k) + (1+\varepsilon)\,.$$

We deduce from here that $\phi_\infty \leq 1+\varepsilon$, which, for ε small enough is in contradiction with the fact that $\phi_\infty \geq f(0)e^{-a}$. Therefore, $y_0^* > 0$ and $\phi_\infty = f(0)e^{-a}$. Summing over $k \geq 0$ in the above system of equations, we see that

$$\phi_\infty \sum_{k\geq 0} y_k^* \,=\, \sum_{i\geq 0} y_i^* f(i) \,=\, \phi_\infty\,.$$

We conclude that the components of $(y_k^*)_{k\geq 0}$ add up to 1, thus, $(y_k^*)_{k\geq 0}$ must be equal to ρ^0.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a public grant as part of the Investissement d'avenir project, reference ANR-11-LABX-0056-LMH, LabEx LMH. The author wishes to thank Michel Benaïm and Raphaël Cerf for the valuables discussions that contributed to improve the article.

References

[1] Chikafusa Bessho and Naoki Kuroda. A note on a more general solution of Eigen's rate equation for selection. *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 45(1):143–149, 1983.

[2] Henri Cartan. *Calcul différentiel*. Hermann, Paris, 1967.

[3] Raphaël Cerf and Joseba Dalmau. Quasispecies on class-dependent fitness landscapes. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 78(6):1238–1258, 2016.

[4] Manfred Eigen. Self-organization of matter and the evolution of biological macromolecules. *Naturwissenschaften*, 58(10):465–523, 1971.

[5] Billy L. Jones. Analysis of Eigen's equations for selection of biological molecules with fluctuating mutation rates. *Bull. Math. Biology*, 39(3):311–316, 1977.

[6] Billy L. Jones, Richard H. Enns, and Sadanand S. Rangnekar. On the theory of selection of coupled macromolecular systems. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biology*, 38(1):15–28, 1976.

[7] Patrick A. P. Moran. Global stability of genetic systems governed by mutation and selection. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 80(2):331–336, 1976.

[8] Jörg Swetina and Peter Schuster. Self–replication with errors. a model for polynucleotide replication. *Biophys. Chem.*, 16(4):329–45, 1982.

[9] Colin J. Thompson and John L. McBride. On Eigen's theory of the self-organization of matter and the evolution of biological macromolecules. *Math. Biosci.*, 21:127–142, 1974.

A Lemmas on linear ODEs

We give here some lemmas concerning linear ODEs, and specially their long time behavior.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\alpha : [0,+\infty[\longrightarrow [0,+\infty[$ and $\beta : [0,+\infty[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz functions and let $(z(t), t \geq 0)$ be the solution of the differential equation*

$$z'(t) \,=\, \alpha(t) + \beta(t) z(t)\,.$$

If $z(0) \geq 0$ then $z(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. The trajectory $(z(t), t \geq 0)$ is continuous. If there exists $t^* \geq 0$ such that $z(t^*) = 0$, then

$$z'(t^*) \,=\, \alpha(t^*) \,\geq\, 0\,,$$

and thus $z(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. □

Lemma A.2. *Let $\alpha, \widetilde{\alpha} : [0,+\infty[\longrightarrow [0,+\infty[$ and $\beta, \widetilde{\beta} : [0,+\infty[\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Lipschitz functions satisfying*

$$\forall\, t \geq 0\,, \qquad \alpha(t) \,\leq\, \widetilde{\alpha}(t)\,, \qquad \beta(t) \,\leq\, \widetilde{\beta}(t)\,.$$

Let $(y(t), t \geq 0)$ and $(z(t), t \geq 0)$ be the solutions of the ODEs

$$y'(t) \,=\, \alpha(t) + \beta(t) y(t)\,, \qquad z'(t) \,=\, \widetilde{\alpha}(t) + \widetilde{\beta}(t) z(t)\,.$$

If $z(0) \geq y(0) \geq 0$ then $z(t) \geq y(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. We have

$$z'(t) - y'(t) \,=\, \widetilde{\alpha}(t) - \alpha(t) + (\widetilde{\beta}(t) - \beta(t))z(t) + \widetilde{\beta}(t)(z(t) - y(t))\,.$$

From the previous lemma, $z(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Thus, applying the previous lemma once again, $z(t) - y(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. □

Lemma A.3. *Let $\alpha, \beta : [0, +\infty[\longrightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be Lipschitz functions, and suppose that there exist $\alpha^*, \beta^* \in]0, +\infty[$ such that*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha(t) \,=\, \alpha^*\,, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) \,=\, \beta^*\,.$$

Let $(y(t), t \geq 0)$ be the solution of the differential equation

$$y'(t) \,=\, \alpha(t) - \beta(t)y(t)\,.$$

Then, for every initial condition $y(0) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) \,=\, \frac{\alpha^*}{\beta^*}\,.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough so that $\alpha^* - \varepsilon, \beta^* - \varepsilon > 0$. Let $T \geq 0$ be large enough so that

$$\forall\, t \geq T\,, \qquad |\alpha(t) - \alpha^*| \,<\, \varepsilon\,, \qquad |\beta(t) - \beta^*| \,<\, \varepsilon\,.$$

Let $(\underline{y}(t), t \geq 0)$ and $(\overline{y}(t), t \geq 0)$ be the solutions of the differential equations

$$\underline{y}'(t) \,=\, (\alpha^* - \varepsilon) - (\beta^* + \varepsilon)\underline{y}'(t)\,, \qquad \overline{y}'(t) \,=\, (\alpha^* + \varepsilon) - (\beta^* - \varepsilon)\overline{y}'(t)\,,$$

with $\underline{y}(0) = \overline{y}(0) = y(T)$. From the previous lemma, for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\underline{y}(t) \,\leq\, y(T + t) \,\leq\, \overline{y}(t)\,.$$

Yet, $\underline{y}(t)$ and $\overline{y}(t)$ converge:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \underline{y}(t) \,=\, \frac{\alpha^* - \varepsilon}{\beta^* + \varepsilon}\,, \qquad \lim_{t\to\infty} \overline{y}(t) \,=\, \frac{\alpha^* + \varepsilon}{\beta^* - \varepsilon}\,.$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{\alpha^* - \varepsilon}{\beta^* + \varepsilon} \,\leq\, \liminf_{t\to\infty} y(t) \,\leq\, \limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) \,\leq\, \frac{\alpha^* + \varepsilon}{\beta^* - \varepsilon}\,.$$

We send ε to 0 and we obtain the desired result. □